Introduction to chiral perturbation theory II Higher orders, loops, applications

Gilberto Colangelo



UNIVERSITÄT BERN

Zuoz 18. July 06

Outline

Introduction Why loops?

Loops and unitarity

Renormalization of loops

Applications NLO Calculations

Summary

The chiral Lagrangian to higher orders

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_6 + \dots$$

The number in parentheses are for an SU(N) theory with N = (2,3)

The \mathcal{L}_4 Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{4} &= L_{1} \langle D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\mu} U \rangle^{2} + L_{2} \langle D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D_{\nu} U \rangle \langle D^{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\nu} U \rangle \\ &+ L_{3} \langle D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\mu} U D_{\nu} U^{\dagger} D^{\nu} U \rangle + L_{4} \langle D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\mu} U \rangle \langle \chi^{\dagger} U + \chi U^{\dagger} \rangle \\ &+ L_{5} \langle D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\mu} U (\chi^{\dagger} U + U^{\dagger} \chi) \rangle + L_{6} \langle \chi^{\dagger} U + \chi U^{\dagger} \rangle^{2} \\ &+ L_{7} \langle \chi^{\dagger} U - \chi U^{\dagger} \rangle^{2} + L_{8} \langle \chi^{\dagger} U \chi^{\dagger} U + \chi U^{\dagger} \chi U^{\dagger} \rangle \\ &- i L_{9} \langle F_{R}^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu} U D_{\nu} U^{\dagger} + F_{L}^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D_{\nu} U \rangle \\ &+ L_{10} \langle U^{\dagger} F_{R}^{\mu\nu} U F_{L \mu \nu} \rangle \end{split}$$

$$D_{\mu}U = \partial_{\mu}U - ir_{\mu}U + iUl_{\mu} \qquad \chi = 2B(s + ip)$$

$$F_{R}^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}r^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}r^{\mu} - i[r^{\mu}, r^{\nu}]$$

$$r_{\mu} = v_{\mu} + a_{\mu} \qquad l_{\mu} = v_{\mu} - a_{\mu}$$

• Why not? Chiral Symmetry forbids $O(p^0)$ interactions between pions, but allows for all higher orders

- Why not? Chiral Symmetry forbids O(p⁰) interactions between pions, but allows for all higher orders
- Unitarity requires that if an amplitude at order p² is purely real, at order p⁴ its imaginary part is nonzero.
 Take the ππ scattering amplitude. The elastic unitarity relation for the partial waves t¹_ℓ of isospin I and angular momentum ℓ reads:

$$Im t_{\ell}^{I} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4M_{\pi}^{2}}{s}} |t_{\ell}^{I}|^{2}$$
 (1)

- Why not? Chiral Symmetry forbids O(p⁰) interactions between pions, but allows for all higher orders
- Unitarity requires that if an amplitude at order p² is purely real, at order p⁴ its imaginary part is nonzero.
 Take the ππ scattering amplitude. The elastic unitarity relation for the partial waves t¹_ℓ of isospin I and angular momentum ℓ reads:

$$Im t_{\ell}^{I} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4M_{\pi}^{2}}{s}} |t_{\ell}^{I}|^{2}$$
 (1)

 The correct imaginary parts are generated automatically by loops

- Why not? Chiral Symmetry forbids O(p⁰) interactions between pions, but allows for all higher orders
- Unitarity requires that if an amplitude at order p² is purely real, at order p⁴ its imaginary part is nonzero.
 Take the ππ scattering amplitude. The elastic unitarity relation for the partial waves t^l_ℓ of isospin I and angular momentum ℓ reads:

$$Im t_{\ell}^{I} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4M_{\pi}^{2}}{s}} |t_{\ell}^{I}|^{2}$$
 (1)

- The correct imaginary parts are generated automatically by loops
- The divergences occuring in the loops can be disposed of just like in a renormalizable field theory

The method of effective quantum field theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, analyticity, unitarity

The method of effective quantum field theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, analyticity, unitarity

The method yields a systematic expansion of the Green functions in powers of momenta and quark masses

The method of effective quantum field theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, analyticity, unitarity

The method yields a systematic expansion of the Green functions in powers of momenta and quark masses

In the following I will discuss in detail how this works when you consider loops:

I will consider the finite, analytically nontrivial part of the loops and discuss in detail its physical meaning

The method of effective quantum field theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, analyticity, unitarity

The method yields a systematic expansion of the Green functions in powers of momenta and quark masses

In the following I will discuss in detail how this works when you consider loops:

- I will consider the finite, analytically nontrivial part of the loops and discuss in detail its physical meaning
- I will consider the divergent part of the loops and discuss how the renormalization program works

Scalar form factor of the pion

$$\langle \pi^{i}(p_{1})\pi^{j}(p_{2})|\hat{m}(\bar{u}u+\bar{d}d)|0
angle =:\delta^{ij}\Gamma(t) \ , \quad t=(p_{1}+p_{2})^{2} \ ,$$

At tree level:

$$\Gamma(t) = 2\hat{m}B = M_\pi^2 + O(\rho^4) \quad ,$$

Scalar form factor of the pion

$$\langle \pi^{i}(p_{1})\pi^{j}(p_{2})|\hat{m}(\bar{u}u+\bar{d}d)|0
angle =:\delta^{ij}\Gamma(t)~,~t=(p_{1}+p_{2})^{2}~,$$

At tree level:

$$\Gamma(t) = 2\hat{m}B = M_\pi^2 + O(p^4) \ ,$$

in agreement with the Feynman–Hellman theorem:

the expectation value of the perturbation in an eigenstate of the total Hamiltonian determines the derivative of the energy level with respect to the strength of the perturbation:

$$\hat{m}rac{\partial M_{\pi}^2}{\partial \hat{m}} = \langle \pi | \hat{m} ar{q} q | \pi
angle = \Gamma(0)$$
 .

Scalar form factor of the pion

$$\langle \pi^{i}(p_{1})\pi^{j}(p_{2})|\hat{m}(\bar{u}u+\bar{d}d)|0
angle =:\delta^{ij}\Gamma(t)~,~t=(p_{1}+p_{2})^{2}~,$$

At tree level:

$$\Gamma(t) = 2\hat{m}B = M_\pi^2 + O(p^4) \ ,$$

in agreement with the Feynman–Hellman theorem:

the expectation value of the perturbation in an eigenstate of the total Hamiltonian determines the derivative of the energy level with respect to the strength of the perturbation:

$$\hat{m}rac{\partial M_{\pi}^2}{\partial \hat{m}} = \langle \pi | \hat{m} ar{q} q | \pi
angle = \Gamma(0)$$
 .

This matrix element is relevant for the decay $h \rightarrow \pi \pi$, which, for a light Higgs would have been the main decay mode

Donoghue, Gasser & Leutwyler (90)

Dispersion relation for $\Gamma(t)$ For $t \ge 4M_{\pi}^2 \operatorname{Im} \Gamma(t) \ne 0$. $\Gamma(t)$ is analytic everywhere else in the complex *t* plane, and obeys the following dispersion relation: $\overline{\Gamma}(t) = \Gamma(t)/\Gamma(0)$

$$\bar{\Gamma}(t) = 1 + bt + \frac{t^2}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^\infty \frac{dt'}{t'^2} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\,\bar{\Gamma}(t')}{t'-t}$$

Dispersion relation for $\Gamma(t)$ For $t \ge 4M_{\pi}^2 \operatorname{Im} \Gamma(t) \ne 0$. $\Gamma(t)$ is analytic everywhere else in the complex *t* plane, and obeys the following dispersion relation: $\overline{\Gamma}(t) = \Gamma(t)/\Gamma(0)$

$$\bar{\Gamma}(t) = 1 + bt + \frac{t^2}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{t'^2} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\,\bar{\Gamma}(t')}{t'-t}$$

Unitarity implies $[\sigma(t) = \sqrt{1 - 4M_{\pi}^2/t}]$

$$\operatorname{Im}\bar{\Gamma}(t) = \sigma(t)\bar{\Gamma}(t)t_0^{0^*}(t) = \bar{\Gamma}(t)e^{-i\delta_0^0}\sin\delta_0^0 = |\bar{\Gamma}(t)|\sin\delta_0^0$$

where t_0^0 is the S–wave, $I = 0 \pi \pi$ scattering amplitude

Dispersion relation for $\Gamma(t)$ For $t \ge 4M_{\pi}^2 \operatorname{Im} \Gamma(t) \ne 0$. $\Gamma(t)$ is analytic everywhere else in the complex *t* plane, and obeys the following dispersion relation: $\overline{\Gamma}(t) = \Gamma(t)/\Gamma(0)$

$$ar{\Gamma}(t)=1+bt+rac{t^2}{\pi}\int_{4M_\pi^2}^\infty rac{dt'}{t'^2}rac{{
m Im}\,ar{\Gamma}(t')}{t'-t}$$

Unitarity implies $[\sigma(t) = \sqrt{1 - 4M_{\pi}^2/t}]$

$$\operatorname{Im}\bar{\Gamma}(t) = \sigma(t)\bar{\Gamma}(t)t_0^{0^*}(t) = \bar{\Gamma}(t)e^{-i\delta_0^0}\sin\delta_0^0 = |\bar{\Gamma}(t)|\sin\delta_0^0$$

where t_0^0 is the S–wave, $I = 0 \pi \pi$ scattering amplitude

Strictly speaking, the above unitarity relation is valid only for $t \le 16M_{\pi}^2$. To a good approximation, however, it holds up to the $K\bar{K}$ threshold

Dispersion relation and chiral counting

$$\bar{\Gamma}(t) = 1 + bt + \frac{t^2}{\pi} \int_{4M_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{t'^2} \frac{|\bar{\Gamma}(t')| \sin \delta_0^0(t')}{t' - t} b \sim O(1) \left(1 + O(M_{\pi}^2) \right) \delta_0^0 \sim O(p^2) \left(1 + O(p^2) \right)$$

Dispersion relation and chiral counting

$$\begin{split} \bar{\Gamma}(t) &= 1 + bt + \frac{t^2}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^\infty \frac{dt'}{t'^2} \frac{|\bar{\Gamma}(t')| \sin \delta_0^0(t')}{t' - t} \\ b &\sim O(1) \left(1 + O(M_\pi^2) \right) \\ \delta_0^0 &\sim O(p^2) \left(1 + O(p^2) \right) \end{split}$$

There are two $O(p^2)$ correction to $\overline{\Gamma}$:

1. O(1) contribution to *b*;

2. the dispersive integral containing the $O(p^2)$ phase δ_0^0 . Notice that the latter is fixed by unitarity and analyticity

Dispersion relation and chiral counting

$$\begin{split} \bar{\Gamma}(t) &= 1 + bt + \frac{t^2}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^\infty \frac{dt'}{t'^2} \frac{|\bar{\Gamma}(t')| \sin \delta_0^0(t')}{t' - t} \\ b &\sim O(1) \left(1 + O(M_\pi^2) \right) \\ \delta_0^0 &\sim O(p^2) \left(1 + O(p^2) \right) \end{split}$$

There are two $O(p^2)$ correction to $\overline{\Gamma}$:

1. O(1) contribution to *b*;

2. the dispersive integral containing the $O(p^2)$ phase δ_0^0 . Notice that the latter is fixed by unitarity and analyticity

Are these respected by the one loop calculation?

Dispersion relation and one–loop CHPT The full one–loop expression of $\overline{\Gamma}(t)$ reads as follows:

$$\bar{\Gamma}(t) = 1 + \frac{t}{16\pi^2 F_{\pi}^2} (\bar{l}_4 - 1) + \frac{2t - M_{\pi}^2}{2F_{\pi}^2} \bar{J}(t)$$
$$\bar{J}(t) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[\sigma(t) \ln \frac{\sigma(t) - 1}{\sigma(t) + 1} + 2 \right]$$

Dispersion relation and one–loop CHPT The full one–loop expression of $\overline{\Gamma}(t)$ reads as follows:

$$ar{\Gamma}(t) = 1 + rac{t}{16\pi^2 F_\pi^2} (ar{l}_4 - 1) + rac{2t - M_\pi^2}{2F_\pi^2} ar{J}(t)$$
 $ar{J}(t) = rac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[\sigma(t) \ln rac{\sigma(t) - 1}{\sigma(t) + 1} + 2
ight]$

To prove that unitarity and analyticity are respected at this order is sufficient to add:

$$\delta_0^0(t) = \sigma(t) \frac{2t - M_\pi^2}{32\pi F_\pi^2} + O(p^4) \qquad \qquad \bar{J}(t) = \frac{t}{16\pi^2} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^\infty \frac{dt'}{t'} \frac{\sigma(t')}{t' - t}$$

Bierfrage: Beweis?

Hints:

Subtract $\overline{J}(t)$ once more

$$ar{J}(t) = rac{t}{96\pi^2} + rac{t^2}{16\pi^2} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^\infty rac{dt'}{t'^2} rac{\sigma(t')}{t'-t}$$

Bierfrage: Beweis?

Hints:

Subtract $\overline{J}(t)$ once more

$$ar{J}(t) = rac{t}{96\pi^2} + rac{t^2}{16\pi^2} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^\infty rac{dt'}{t'^2} rac{\sigma(t')}{t'-t}$$

Trick to pull out a linear term from the dispersive integral:

$$\int_{4M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{t'^{2}} \frac{t'\sigma(t')}{t'-t} = t \int_{4M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{t'^{2}} \frac{\sigma(t')}{t'-t} + \int_{4M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{t'^{2}} \sigma(t')$$

Renormalization at one loop

$$\int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\{p^2, p \cdot l, l^2\}}{(l^2 - M^2)((p - l)^2 - M^2)} , \qquad p = p_1 + p_2$$

$$\sim \underbrace{\int \frac{d^4 I}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(I^2 - M^2)}}_{T(M^2)} + p^2 \underbrace{\int \frac{d^4 I}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(I^2 - M^2)((p - I)^2 - M^2)}}_{J(p^2)}_{J(p^2)}$$

$$T(M^2) = a + bM^2 + \bar{T}(M^2) \qquad J(t) = J(0) + \bar{J}(t)$$

Renormalization at one loop

$$\int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\{p^2, p \cdot l, l^2\}}{(l^2 - M^2)((p - l)^2 - M^2)} , \qquad p = p_1 + p_2$$

$$\sim \underbrace{\int \frac{d^4 I}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(I^2 - M^2)}}_{T(M^2)} + p^2 \underbrace{\int \frac{d^4 I}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(I^2 - M^2)((p - I)^2 - M^2)}}_{J(p^2)}$$

 $T(M^2) = a + bM^2 + \overline{T}(M^2)$ $J(t) = J(0) + \overline{J}(t)$ $\overline{T}(M^2)$ and $\overline{J}(t)$ are finite

$$\Gamma(t) \sim M^2 \left[1 + \underbrace{bM^2 + tJ(0)}_{} + \overline{T}(M^2) + \overline{J}(t) \right]$$

divergent part

Counterterms

$$\mathcal{L}_2 \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \Gamma^{(2)}(t) \sim M^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 \ \Rightarrow \ \Gamma^{(4)}(t) \sim l_3 M^4 + l_4 M^2 t$$

Counterterms

$$\mathcal{L}_2 \ \Rightarrow \ \Gamma^{(2)}(t) \sim M^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 \hspace{0.2cm} \Rightarrow \hspace{0.2cm} \Gamma^{(4)}(t) \sim \mathit{I}_3 \mathit{M}^4 + \mathit{I}_4 \mathit{M}^2 t$$

To remove the divergences one only needs to properly define the couplings $(I_{3,4})$ in the lagrangian at order $O(p^4)$

Counterterms

$$\mathcal{L}_2 \ \Rightarrow \ \Gamma^{(2)}(t) \sim M^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 \ \Rightarrow \ \Gamma^{(4)}(t) \sim l_3 M^4 + l_4 M^2 t$$

To remove the divergences one only needs to properly define the couplings $(I_{3,4})$ in the lagrangian at order $O(p^4)$

Quote from Weinberg's book on QFT, vol. I: "(...) as long as we include every one of the infinite number of interactions allowed by symmetries, the so-called non-renormalizable theories are actually just as renormalizable as renormalizable theories."

Chiral logarithms Scalar radius of the pion

$$\Gamma(t) = \Gamma(0) \left[1 + \frac{1}{6} \langle r^2 \rangle_{S}^{\pi} t + O(t^2) \right]$$
$$\langle r^2 \rangle_{S}^{\pi} \sim J(0) = \int \frac{d^4 I}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(I^2 - M^2)^2} \sim \ln \frac{M^2}{\Lambda^2}$$

Chiral logarithms Scalar radius of the pion

$$\Gamma(t) = \Gamma(0) \left[1 + \frac{1}{6} \langle r^2 \rangle_S^{\pi} t + O(t^2) \right]$$

 $\langle r^2 \rangle_S^{\pi} \sim J(0) = \int \frac{d^4 I}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(I^2 - M^2)^2} \sim \ln \frac{M^2}{\Lambda^2}$

The integral is UV divergent, but also IR divergent if $M \rightarrow 0$:

$$\lim_{M^2 \to 0} \langle \boldsymbol{r}^2 \rangle_{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\pi} \sim \ln M^2 \ ,$$

Chiral logarithms Scalar radius of the pion

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(t) &= \Gamma(0) \left[1 + \frac{1}{6} \langle r^2 \rangle_{S}^{\pi} t + O(t^2) \right] \\ \langle r^2 \rangle_{S}^{\pi} \sim J(0) &= \int \frac{d^4 I}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(I^2 - M^2)^2} \sim \ln \frac{M^2}{\Lambda^2} \end{split}$$

The integral is UV divergent, but also IR divergent if $M \rightarrow 0$:

$$\lim_{M^2 \to 0} \langle r^2 \rangle_{S}^{\pi} \sim \ln M^2 \ ,$$

The extension of the cloud of pions surrounding a pion (or any other hadron) goes to infinity if pions become massless (Li and Pagels '72)

Chiral perturbation theory

- Chiral perturbation theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, applyticity, unitority.
 - symmetry, analyticity, unitarity

Chiral perturbation theory

- Chiral perturbation theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, analyticity, unitarity
- The method yields a systematic expansion of the Green functions in powers of momenta and quark masses

Chiral perturbation theory

- Chiral perturbation theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, analyticity, unitarity
- The method yields a systematic expansion of the Green functions in powers of momenta and quark masses
- The method has been rigorously established and can be formulated as a set of calculational rules:
Chiral perturbation theory

- Chiral perturbation theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, analyticity, unitarity
- The method yields a systematic expansion of the Green functions in powers of momenta and quark masses
- The method has been rigorously established and can be formulated as a set of calculational rules:

LO tree level diagrams with \mathcal{L}_2

Chiral perturbation theory

- Chiral perturbation theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, analyticity, unitarity
- The method yields a systematic expansion of the Green functions in powers of momenta and quark masses
- The method has been rigorously established and can be formulated as a set of calculational rules:

LO tree level diagrams with \mathcal{L}_2 NLO tree level diagrams with \mathcal{L}_4 1-loop diagrams with \mathcal{L}_2

Chiral perturbation theory

- Chiral perturbation theory provides a rigorous framework to compute Green functions that respect all the good properties we require: symmetry, analyticity, unitarity
- The method yields a systematic expansion of the Green functions in powers of momenta and quark masses
- The method has been rigorously established and can be formulated as a set of calculational rules:

e.g. $\langle D_{\mu}U^{\dagger}D^{\mu}U\rangle\langle B\mathcal{M}(U+U^{\dagger})\rangle\sim\ldots+M^{2}\phi^{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{4}\partial^{\mu}\phi^{6}+\ldots$

e.g.
$$\langle D_{\mu}U^{\dagger}D^{\mu}U\rangle\langle B\mathcal{M}(U+U^{\dagger})\rangle\sim\ldots+M^{2}\phi^{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{4}\partial^{\mu}\phi^{6}+\ldots$$

Chiral symmetry implies that after calculating the divergent part of $\Gamma(s)$ I also know the divergent part of the $6\pi \rightarrow 6\pi$ scattering amplitude

e.g.
$$\langle D_{\mu}U^{\dagger}D^{\mu}U\rangle\langle B\mathcal{M}(U+U^{\dagger})\rangle\sim\ldots+M^{2}\phi^{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{4}\partial^{\mu}\phi^{6}+\ldots$$

1. Do we have a proof that quantum effects do not introduce violations of the chiral symmetry? Or that one can build a chiral invariant generating functional only with a path integral over a chiral invariant classical action?

e.g.
$$\langle D_{\mu}U^{\dagger}D^{\mu}U\rangle\langle B\mathcal{M}(U+U^{\dagger})\rangle\sim\ldots+M^{2}\phi^{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{4}\partial^{\mu}\phi^{6}+\ldots$$

- 1. Do we have a proof that quantum effects do not introduce violations of the chiral symmetry? Or that one can build a chiral invariant generating functional only with a path integral over a chiral invariant classical action?
- 2. Is there a tool that allows one to calculate the divergences keeping chiral invariance explicit in every step of the calculation?

Generating functional

Consider a system with a spontaneously broken symmetry
 G. Define the generating functional as:

$$e^{iZ\{f\}} = \sum_{n=0} \frac{i^n}{n!} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n f_{\mu_1}^{i_1} \dots f_{\mu_n}^{i_n} \langle 0|TJ_{i_1}^{\mu_1} \dots J_{i_n}^{\mu_n}|0\rangle \ ,$$

where J^i_{μ} are the Noether's currents associated to the spontaneously broken symmetry *G* of the system, and f^{μ}_i external fields coupled to them

Generating functional

Consider a system with a spontaneously broken symmetry
 G. Define the generating functional as:

$$e^{iZ\{f\}} = \sum_{n=0} \frac{i^n}{n!} \int dx_1 \dots dx_n f_{\mu_1}^{i_1} \dots f_{\mu_n}^{i_n} \langle 0| T J_{i_1}^{\mu_1} \dots J_{i_n}^{\mu_n} |0\rangle \ ,$$

The generating functional is invariant under gauge transformations of the external fields:

$$Z\{T(g)f\}=Z\{f\} \ ,$$

where:

$$T(g)f_{\mu} = D(g_x)f_{\mu}(x)D^{-1}(g_x) - i\partial_{\mu}D(g_x)D^{-1}(g_x)$$

Leutwyler's theorem

What is the most general way of constructing a chiral-invariant generating functional out of a path integral over the Goldstone boson degrees of freedom?

Leutwyler's theorem

What is the most general way of constructing a chiral-invariant generating functional out of a path integral over the Goldstone boson degrees of freedom?

For Lorentz-invariant theories in 4 dimensions, a path integral constructed with gauge-invariant lagrangians is a necessary and sufficient condition to obtain a gauge-invariant generating functional

Leutwyler's theorem

What is the most general way of constructing a chiral-invariant generating functional out of a path integral over the Goldstone boson degrees of freedom?

For Lorentz-invariant theories in 4 dimensions, a path integral constructed with gauge-invariant lagrangians is a necessary and sufficient condition to obtain a gauge-invariant generating functional

The theorem also includes the case in which the symmetry is anomalous and the case in which the symmetry is explicitly broken

Chiral invariant renormalization

Gasser & Leutwyler (84) have shown that, using the background field method and heat kernel techniques, the calculation of the divergences at one loop – and the corresponding renirmalization – can be performed in an explicitly chiral invariant manner

Chiral invariant renormalization

- Gasser & Leutwyler (84) have shown that, using the background field method and heat kernel techniques, the calculation of the divergences at one loop – and the corresponding renirmalization – can be performed in an explicitly chiral invariant manner
- The method has been extended and applied to two loops (Bijnens, GC & Ecker 98). After a long and tedious calculation, the divergent parts of all the counterterms at O(p⁶) has been provided

Chiral invariant renormalization

- Gasser & Leutwyler (84) have shown that, using the background field method and heat kernel techniques, the calculation of the divergences at one loop – and the corresponding renirmalization – can be performed in an explicitly chiral invariant manner
- The method has been extended and applied to two loops (Bijnens, GC & Ecker 98). After a long and tedious calculation, the divergent parts of all the counterterms at O(p⁶) has been provided
- The renormalization of CHPT up to two loops has been performed explicitly: the calculation of any amplitude at two loops can be immediately checked by comparing the divergent part of Feynman diagrams to the divergent parts of the relevant counterterms

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^0 &= \frac{7M_\pi^2}{32\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{M_\pi^2}{3} \langle r^2 \rangle_S^\pi + \frac{200\pi F_\pi^2 M_\pi^2}{7} (a_2^0 + 2a_2^2) \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{M_\pi^2}{672\pi^2 F_\pi^2} (15\overline{l}_3 - 353) \right] = 0.16 \cdot 1.25 = 0.20 \\ 2a_0^0 - 5a_0^2 &= \left. \frac{3M_\pi^2}{4\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{M_\pi^2}{3} \langle r^2 \rangle_S^\pi + \frac{41M_\pi^2}{192\pi^2 F_\pi^2} \right] = 0.624 \end{aligned}$$

Gasser and Leutwyler (83)

 a_{0}^{0}

$$a_0^0 = \frac{7M_\pi^2}{32\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{M_\pi^2}{3} \langle r^2 \rangle_S^\pi + \frac{200\pi F_\pi^2 M_\pi^2}{7} (a_2^0 + 2a_2^2) - \frac{M_\pi^2}{672\pi^2 F_\pi^2} (15\bar{l}_3 - 353) \right] = 0.16 \cdot 1.25 = 0.20$$
$$-a_0^2 = 0.245$$

Gasser and Leutwyler (83)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_0^0 &=& 0.26 \pm 0.05 & & \text{Rosselet et al. (77)} \\ a_0^0 &=& 0.216 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.003 & & \text{Pislak et al. (E865) (03)} \\ |a_0^0 - a_0^2| &=& 0.264 \begin{array}{c} +0.033 & & & \\ -0.020 & & & \text{Adeva et al. (DIRAC) (05)} \\ a_0^0 - a_0^2 &=& 0.268 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.013 & & \text{Batley et al. (NA48/2) (06)} \end{array}$$

 a_{0}^{0}

$$a_0^0 = \frac{7M_\pi^2}{32\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{M_\pi^2}{3} \langle r^2 \rangle_S^\pi + \frac{200\pi F_\pi^2 M_\pi^2}{7} (a_2^0 + 2a_2^2) - \frac{M_\pi^2}{672\pi^2 F_\pi^2} (15\overline{l}_3 - 353) \right] = 0.16 \cdot 1.25 = 0.20$$
$$-a_0^2 = 0.245$$

Gasser and Leutwyler (83)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_0^0 &=& 0.26 \pm 0.05 & & \text{Rosselet et al. (77)} \\ a_0^0 &=& 0.216 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.003 & & \text{Pislak et al. (E865) (03)} \\ a_0^0 - a_0^2 &=& 0.264 \begin{array}{c} +0.033 & & & \\ -0.020 & & & \text{Adeva et al. (DIRAC) (05)} \\ a_0^0 - a_0^2 &=& 0.268 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.013 & & & \text{Battey et al. (NA48/2) (06)} \end{array}$$

Comparison of NNLO prediction and data \Rightarrow talk of Leutwyler



$$\langle K^{+} | \bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} s | \pi^{0} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[(p' + p)_{\mu} f_{+}(t) + (p' - p)_{\mu} f_{-}(t) \right]$$

$$f_{+,0}(t) = f_{+,0}(0) \left(1 + \lambda_{+,0} \frac{t}{M_{\pi}^{2}} + \dots \right)$$

$$f_{0} = f_{+} + \frac{t}{M_{K}^{2} - M_{\pi}^{2}} f_{-}$$

$$\lambda_{+} = \frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{6} \langle r \rangle_{V}^{\pi} + \Delta_{+} = 0.031$$

$$\lambda_{0} = \frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{K}^{2} - M_{\pi}^{2}} \left(\frac{F_{K}}{F_{\pi}} - 1 \right) + \Delta_{0} = 0.017$$

Gasser and Leutwyler (85)

 K_{I3} decays at NLO

$$\lambda_{+} = \frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{6} \langle r \rangle_{V}^{\pi} + \Delta_{+} = 0.031$$

$$\lambda_{0} = \frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{K}^{2} - M_{\pi}^{2}} \left(\frac{F_{K}}{F_{\pi}} - 1\right) + \Delta_{0} = 0.017$$

Gasser and Leutwyler (85)

Experimental values:

Exp.	$10^3\lambda_+$	$10^3\lambda_0$
ISTRA $(K_{\mu 3}^{-})$	29.7 ± 1.6	19.6 ± 1.4
ISTRA (K_{e3}^{-})	24.7 ± 1.6	
KTeV (<i>K</i> _{L e,μ3})	$\textbf{20.6} \pm \textbf{1.8}$	13.7 ± 1.3
NA48/2 (<i>K_{L e3}</i>)	$\textbf{28.0} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	
NA48/2 ($K_{L\mu3}$)	26.0 ± 1.2	12.0 ± 1.7
KLOE ($K_{L e3}$)	25.5 ± 1.5	

K₁₃ decays at NLO



Figure by KLOE, hep-ex/0601038

K_{13} decays at NNLO

K₁₃ amplitude known at NNLO

Post & Schilcher (02)

Bijnens & Talavera (03)

K_{I3} decays at NNLO

K₁₃ amplitude known at NNLO

Post & Schilcher (02)

Bijnens & Talavera (03)

• Interesting relation among $f_+(0)$, slope and curvature

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{f}_0(t) &:= f_0(t) + \frac{t}{M_K^2 - M_\pi^2} (1 - F_K / F_\pi) \\ \widetilde{f}_0(t) &= 1 - \frac{8}{F_\pi^4} (C_{12}^r + C_{34}^r) (M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)^2 \\ &+ \frac{8t}{F_\pi^4} (2C_{12}^r + C_{34}^r) (M_K^2 + M_\pi^2) - \frac{8t^2}{F_\pi^4} C_{12}^r + \Delta(t) \end{split}$$

*K*_{/3} decays at NNLO

K₁₃ amplitude known at NNLO

Post & Schilcher (02)

Bijnens & Talavera (03)

• Interesting relation among $f_+(0)$, slope and curvature

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{f}_0(t) &:= f_0(t) + \frac{t}{M_K^2 - M_\pi^2} (1 - F_K / F_\pi) \\ \widetilde{f}_0(t) &= 1 - \frac{8}{F_\pi^4} (C_{12}^r + C_{34}^r) (M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)^2 \\ &+ \frac{8t}{F_\pi^4} (2C_{12}^r + C_{34}^r) (M_K^2 + M_\pi^2) - \frac{8t^2}{F_\pi^4} C_{12}^r + \Delta(t) \end{split}$$

► The value of f₊(0) can be predicted in terms of measured quantities ⇒ extraction of V_{us} from data on K_{e3}

K_{I3} decays at NNLO



Pions, kaons and etas

- Pions, kaons and etas
 - Purely strong interactions ((semi)leptonic decays)

- Pions, kaons and etas
 - Purely strong interactions ((semi)leptonic decays)
 - Weak nonleptonic (radiative) decays

- Pions, kaons and etas
 - Purely strong interactions ((semi)leptonic decays)
 - Weak nonleptonic (radiative) decays
 - Electromagnetic interactions

- Pions, kaons and etas
 - Purely strong interactions ((semi)leptonic decays)
 - Weak nonleptonic (radiative) decays
 - Electromagnetic interactions
 - Decays of electromagnetically bound states

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
 - One nucleon sector: πN or KN scattering

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
 - One nucleon sector: πN or KN scattering
 - Electromagnetic interactions

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
 - One nucleon sector: πN or KN scattering
 - Electromagnetic interactions
 - ► Two nucleon sector: *NN* scattering, nuclear forces

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
 - (Partially) Quenched Chiral Perturbation Theory

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
 - (Partially) Quenched Chiral Perturbation Theory
 - Study of finite-volume and -temperature effects

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
 - (Partially) Quenched Chiral Perturbation Theory
 - Study of finite-volume and -temperature effects
 - Extrapolation to the chiral limit

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
 - (Partially) Quenched Chiral Perturbation Theory
 - Study of finite-volume and -temperature effects
 - Extrapolation to the chiral limit
 - Extrapolation to zero lattice spacing

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
- Condensed-matter systems with spontaneous symmetry breaking

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
- Condensed-matter systems with spontaneous symmetry breaking
 - Ferromagnets

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
- Condensed-matter systems with spontaneous symmetry breaking
 - Ferromagnets
 - Antiferromagnets in d = 3 or d = 2

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
- Condensed-matter systems with spontaneous symmetry breaking
- Electroweak symmetry breaking models in which the electroweak symmetry is broken strongly

- Pions, kaons and etas
- Nucleons
- Connections to lattice QCD
- Condensed-matter systems with spontaneous symmetry breaking
- Electroweak symmetry breaking models in which the electroweak symmetry is broken strongly
- General relativity as an effective field theory

The finite, analytically nontrivial part of the one loop integrals automatically generates the correct imaginary parts, as required by unitarity.

- The finite, analytically nontrivial part of the one loop integrals automatically generates the correct imaginary parts, as required by unitarity.
- Effective quantum field theory is a systematic method to generate a perturbative solution of dispersion relations

- The finite, analytically nontrivial part of the one loop integrals automatically generates the correct imaginary parts, as required by unitarity.
- Effective quantum field theory is a systematic method to generate a perturbative solution of dispersion relations
- The UV divergences encountered in loop integrals can be removed according to standard renormalization methods

- The finite, analytically nontrivial part of the one loop integrals automatically generates the correct imaginary parts, as required by unitarity.
- Effective quantum field theory is a systematic method to generate a perturbative solution of dispersion relations
- The UV divergences encountered in loop integrals can be removed according to standard renormalization methods
- Some loop integrals have also an IR singular behaviour which has a very clear physical meaning, and again shows the necessity of taking loop effects into account

- The finite, analytically nontrivial part of the one loop integrals automatically generates the correct imaginary parts, as required by unitarity.
- Effective quantum field theory is a systematic method to generate a perturbative solution of dispersion relations
- The UV divergences encountered in loop integrals can be removed according to standard renormalization methods
- Some loop integrals have also an IR singular behaviour which has a very clear physical meaning, and again shows the necessity of taking loop effects into account
- Leutwyler's theorem: doing a path integral over an effective Lagrangian is the most general way to construct an invariant generating functional

- The finite, analytically nontrivial part of the one loop integrals automatically generates the correct imaginary parts, as required by unitarity.
- Effective quantum field theory is a systematic method to generate a perturbative solution of dispersion relations
- The UV divergences encountered in loop integrals can be removed according to standard renormalization methods
- Some loop integrals have also an IR singular behaviour which has a very clear physical meaning, and again shows the necessity of taking loop effects into account
- Leutwyler's theorem: doing a path integral over an effective Lagrangian is the most general way to construct an invariant generating functional
- I have illustrated the method discussing two applications:
 - the $\pi\pi$ S-wave scattering lengths
 - K_{e3} decays and the extraction of V_{us}