

Supersymmetry at LHC: experimental overview and future trigger challenges

Cristina Botta, University of Zurich LTP/PSI Thursday Colloquia





Supersymmetry and its needs

- Still the most attractive theory model that could potentially solve most of the shortcomings of the Standard Model
 - very compelling theory: symmetry that can rotate boson into fermions and viceversa
 - needs **partners for all SM particles**, spin different by 1/2, charged under SM charges
 - can solve **the Hierarchy problem**, and make the theory natural
 - if the most important states to solve the problem are at the weak scale: Higgsinos <~300 GeV, top squarks <~1TeV, gluinos <~2 TeV
 - can provide a Dark Matter candidate
 - if R-parity is conserved: stable LSP
 - neutral candidate of the extended EWK sector perfect WIMP: lightest neutralino
 - can predict the SM forces unification
 - at the high scale, just below the Planck scale







The hierarchy problem

- The discovery of the Higgs boson has led to an unprecedented situation: first "fundamental" scalar particle to be observed
 - the quantum correction to the input value of the Higgs mass parameter from higher order Feynman diagrams have quadratic divergence in Λ^2



massive gauge bosons

From self interaction

From interaction with fermions: dominated by top Yukawa coupling

• The problem: $\Lambda = M_{Pl} \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$ (limit of validity of theory)

• getting $m_H = 125$ GeV requires an extremely delicate cancellation between value at Λ and the contribution from all intermediate scales: theory is fine-tuned (i.e. not natural)?

Solutions to the hierarchy problem

- What if Λ (scale up to which the SM is valid) ~ TeV ?
 - m_H is a message: scale of new physics



- New physics has to be special: cancel the quadratic divergences
 - theory above this scale must be free of quadratic divergences
 - to have exact cancellation need a lot of new states and a symmetry to relate couplings of NP to those of the SM



- How massive should new physics be? Subjective
 - is 1 TeV ok? 10 TeV? 100 TeV? depends how much fine tuning is accepted

Paramount example: Supersymmetry

- Introduce in SM Lagrangian Symmetry that can rotate boson into fermions and viceversa
 - Extremely predictive
 - More than double the particle of SM
 - two Higgs doublets (5 Higgses, a light and a heavy cp-even, a cp-odd one, and two charged ones: h,H,A,H[±])
 - Fewer parameters
- But of course we know that Supersymmetry is broken in nature...
 - we are made out of electrons and not selectrons!
 - given they have different masses **divergences** cancel up to some extent
 - SUSY-breaking Lagrangian: a lot of new free parameters (100!) and contains terms that violate B and/or L numbers







Supersymmetry also for Dark Matter

• those could cause catastrophic proton decay



- to avoid that is the product of B, L violating Yukawa couplings that has to be extremely small
- Easy solution: impose a new global symmetry, R-parity
 that forbids these terms and it is respected by gauge interactions

$$P_R = (-1)^{3(B-L)+2s}$$

[Trust that it works!]

even	odd
<i>f</i> (spin 1/2)	$\tilde{f}(\text{spin } 0)$
V (spin 1)	\widetilde{V} (spin 1/2)
<i>H</i> (spin 0)	\widetilde{H} (spin 1/2)

Supersymmetry also for Dark Matter

• Consequence: Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP) is stable

- something that is odd under R-parity can't decay in any number of even R-parity states
- LSP must be neutral and not over-close the universe: an attractive WIMP (Weakly **Interactive Massive Particle) DM candidate**
 - if we require LSP to be charged only under EWK interactions, and have EWK scale mass
 - lightest neutralino (most of the models)
 - the superpartners of the SM EWK bosons: $\tilde{B}, \tilde{W}^3, \tilde{h}_u, \tilde{h}_d$ mix to form mass eigenstates: neutralinos: $(\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_3^0 \tilde{\chi}_4^0)$ charginos: $\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_2 + \tilde{\gamma}_3$





Supersymmetry also for Unification

• Evolution of SM gauge couplings suggests a common origin at a high scale: $M_{GUT} \sim 10^{15}$, 10^{16} GeV



• **Common origin** of SM gauge interactions in single interaction?

 $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \subset SO(10)$

- **Precision unification** requires new charged states between SM and M_{GUT}
- New SM charged matter in MSSM makes numerical unification work significantly better!
- **Unification** is insensitive to exact value of this scale, but it can't be too heavy

The original ATLAS and CMS mandate

- Probe in full the EWK scale, O(100-1000) GeV, directly:
 - Establish mechanism of EWK Symmetry Breaking
 - **Discover the Higgs (LEP >115 GeV, SM theory <1 TeV)**
 - If Higgs found, then directly search for the new particles that can explain $m_{\rm H}$
 - Of course SUSY: explain $m_{H_{J}}$ DM candidate, Unification
 - But also exhaustive search for all other new physics models:
 - Extra dimensions, Little Higgs theories, compositeness, non-SUSY WIMP DM candidates, heavy neutrinos, Leptoquarks, black holes as signed of low scale quantum gravity...
 - ATLAS and CMS were design to do this
 - Seven years of LHC at 7/8/13 TeV: ATLAS & CMS found no new physics
 - Many BSM models excluded in their simplest realisations: what about SUSY?

SUSY Signatures



[gluino pair production]

- R-parity: produce superparticles in pairs
 - we start from an even R-parity state
 superpartners are R-parity odd
- We can produce superparticles which carry SM charges through their SM gauge interactions
 - gluinos can be pair produced thanks to their color charge
- Superparticles then cascade down until they hit the LSP
 - the lightest state that carries R-parity and therefore it can't decay
- Generic signature is then:
 - missing energy (E_T^{miss} at pp collisions)
 - non-resonant signals
 - quite some visible and energetic SM particles in the final states

SUSY production at LHC 13 TeV



- Cross section falls as a rock with the sparticle mass
- Strong sector: largest cross sections, gluon induced
- EWK sector: much lower cross sections, quark induced

Search tools: ATLAS and CMS



- We have learnt how to calibrate well our detectors:
 - agreement between measurements and predictions for many SM processes

• Demonstrate sensitivity to rare SM processes with same NP cross section

- advanced bkg reduction techniques
- large dataset: LHC Run2 2015-18 ~140 fb⁻¹ @ 13 TeV

SUSY simplified models

- So many possible mass spectra (different SUSY models, different tuning of the parameters): a potential mess
 - sparticles decay into lower masses sparticles down to SM particles and the LSP: long decay chain





- Simplified Models approach: focus on a few particles at a time
 - ex. concentrate on direct light squark production and the only open decay mode if light squarks are NLSP (all other particles not in the reach)



squarks as NLSP, final state: jets + E_T^{miss}

Search strategies at ATLAS and CMS

- Standard discovery strategy:
 - demand multiple energetic objects: jets, b-jets, leptons
 - determine suitable kinematic variables (E_T^{miss}, M_T, M_{T2}, H_{T...}) and count events in the tails
 - in SM bkg any kinematic variable with dimension of mass **falls more rapidly**



- hard to understand tails due to detector effects and bkg modelling in extreme regions of the phase-space
- regions where the predictions from MC are subject to sizeable uncertainties

• Rely as much as possible on data

• define **"control-regions (CR)**" kinematically closed to the **"signal-regions (SR)**" enriched in a specific background to normalise the predictions



З

5

jet multiplicity

Z+jets W+jet

≥3

2

0

o-jet multiplicit

Exclusion limits

• Typical ATLAS and CMS results for specific simplified event topology:

- exclusion limit contours, in the phase space defined by the free parameters of the model (e.g. squark and LSP masses in this case)
 - solid, black curves: observed 95% CL exclusion limits assuming the NLO+NLL SUSY cross sections (thick line), or their $\pm 1\sigma$ variations (thin lines).
 - dashed, red curves: expected 95% CL exclusion limits and corresponding ±1σ experimental uncertainties



15

- Update standard searches to full dataset: Run2 legacy results
 exclude most of the region of the parameter space where SUSY can give us naturalness and DM and unification
 - i.e. the superparticle masses are light enough such that the fine tuning due to m_H is drastically reduced
 - it's not needed to have the whole zoo of MSSM states near the weak scale, but just the states most important for addressing the hierarchy problem:



- gluinos stops have their own hierarchy problem without 'light' gluinos <~2 TeV
- stops most important quantum correction come from top <~1 TeV
- higgsinos mass related to m_H at tree level <~300 GeV

- Before relaxing assumptions: designed new analysis strategies to target remaining corners, experimentally challenging
 - Compressed mass spectra: scenarios with small mass splitting between the NLSP and the LSP
 - visible energy and E_T^{miss} are relatively low, low-momentum/ displaced objects
 - signal events do not even pass standard trigger requirements, let alone strict kinematic cuts to separate signal from bkg
 - standard searches are not so sensitive to these scenarios



- penalty: reduces rate by $O(\alpha_s) \sim 0.1$
- LSP is haviest, carries most of the boost, other decay products remain soft





Decaying to energetic Standard Model particles Decaying to low-energy Standard Model particles Dark matter candidate, stable and undetectable

Standard Model particles



• Giving up on DM: R-Parity violating SUSY (RPV)

- eliminate E_T^{miss} by allowing RPV couplings: LSP doesn't have to be stable
- give up on SUSY to provide dark matter candidate to keep open the possibility of having **SUSY at the weakscale** and provide a solution to the hierarchy problem
- But what about proton decay?: can be controlled by switching on only B-violating or only L-violating couplings
- Signature: no E_T^{miss}, multiple (resonant) SM objects, possibly displaced vertices (depending on coupling decays can be very slow)



• Giving up on Naturalness: mini-split SUSY

- maybe much of the spectrum is simply out of reach: accept fine tuning, no elegant solution to the hierarchy problem
- theory built in the past years: sfermions up to ~ 100 TeV, keep -inos near(ish) the weak scale
 - compatible with current observations, provide a viable DM candidate and Unification
- can predict displaced decays in searches for accessible -inos that decay through heavy sfermions





A non-comprehensive selection of results

• Provide an overview of current status of searches focusing on few particular examples either from ATLAS or CMS

Squarks and Gluinos in full hadronic final states: CMS, 137 fb⁻¹, <u>1908.04722</u>, <u>1909.03460</u>

Squarks and Gluinos in final states with one isolated lepton: ATLAS, 139 fb⁻¹, 2101.01629

Stops quarks in full hadronic, semileptonic, and dileptonic final states: ATLAS 139 fb⁻¹, 2004.14060, 2012.03799, 2102.01444, CMS 137 fb⁻¹ 2107.10892

Electroweakinos in multilepton final states: CMS 137 fb⁻¹, <u>2106.14246</u>, <u>PAS-SUS-18-004</u>, <u>PAS-SUS-21-002</u>, <u>2012.08600</u>, ATLAS 139 fb⁻¹ <u>2106.01676</u>

Sleptons: ATLAS 139 fb⁻¹ 1911.12606, 1908.08215, 1911.06660, CMS 137 fb⁻¹ PAS-SUS-21-001

Searches for R-parity violating decays: CMS 132 fb⁻¹ 2012.01581, CMS 137 fb⁻¹ 2102.06976, ATLAS 139 fb⁻¹ 2106.09609, ATLAS 139 fb⁻¹ 2103.11684

Searches in final states with displaced objects: CMS 101 fb⁻¹ 2004.05153, CMS 132 fb⁻¹ 2012.01581, ATLAS 136 fb⁻¹ ATLAS-CONF-2021-015

Many many other results in ATLAS SUSY Public Results, CMS SUSY Public Results

ATLAS SUSY Summary results CMS SUSY Summary results

Gluinos: a full hadronic search

- Typical simplified model: gluinos NLSP, decay through virtual squarks, if top squarks more naturalness-friendly
 - inclusive full hadronic searches: multiple jets and large E_Tmiss
- many SRs to target different sparticle mass spectra, and bkg composition: Njets, Nb-jets, ETmiss, HT, HTmiss, MT2



Gluinos: when charginos are available



Gluinos: compressed regions?

- Gluinos excluded up to 2 TeV for massless LSP, expected limits weaken down to 1.2 TeV in compressed region
 - smaller $\Delta m(\tilde{g}$ -LSP), signal is more SM bkg like

track

- In peculiar topologies this region is accessible:
 - assuming Wino LSP Δm (chargino, neutralino) ~ O(100) MeV
 - chargino is long lived ($c\tau$ of ~10s cm), and decays into a soft pion and neutralino
 - identification of disappearing tracks inside the tracker volume (<1m) reduce bkg as much as 10000x



Gluinos: very off-shell decays?

- Long-lived particles can arise not only from small phase-space but also from off-shell decays
 - in Split SUSY models squarks are predicted to be very heavy, and gluinos decay into SM quarks can features displaced jets
 - dedicated triggers based on "Calo-Jets" to target decays of gluinos within the tracker volume
 - Calo-Jets associated to tracks, examine all possible pairs of jets and attempt to reconstruct a SV for each jet pair



Top squarks: can they still be light?

Top squarks: 0 leptons search

Top squarks: 1 or 2 leptons searches

- Single lepton search: one e/μ , $E_T^{miss} > 250 \text{ GeV}$
 - SR for compressed region: ISR jet, soft b-tagging and soft leptons: e(µ)[4.5(4)-25] GeV

ATLAS 2012.03799

ATLAS 2102.01444

27

- SR with on-shell tops: use hadronic top tagging, and 'topness' variable to estimate compatibility with di-lepton ttbar with lost lepton (main bkg)
- Dilepton search: two OS e/ μ , E_T^{miss} >250 GeV
 - SR for compressed region: ISR jet and soft leptons: e [4.5-25] GeV, μ [4-25] GeV
 - SR with on-shell tops: use of lepton-based stransverse mass m_T ^{ll}

Top squarks in RPV models

• In RPV models LSP is not stable: no E_T^{miss}

• full hadronic final states are much harder, but high multiplicity and resonant structure can offer handles

Stop NLSP, Bino LSP

train a neural network (NN) to discriminate signal from irreducible tt + jets background

Stop NLSP, Bino/Wino/ Higgsino LSP

search based on final states with large b-jets multiplicity, lepton + jets final state

m(ť) [GeV]

Top squarks in RPV models

- Long-lived particles can arise not only from small phase-space but also from small couplings
 - RPV couplings can be suppressed and, and LSP can be long-lived

Electroweakinos: dibosons final states

- EWK sector: much lower cross sections
 - sensitivity to lower sparticle masses
 - final states very much SM like if dealing with W,Z,H hadronic decays, searches rely on leptonic final states
 - hadronic final states powerful at higher masses and large Δm

In principle, any bino/wino/higgsino mass hierarchy is allowed

$$\tilde{\chi}_2^{0}\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$$
 = $\tilde{W}(\text{wino})$

Δm~few hundreds MeV (assuming heavy sfermions and higgsinos)

Mass

G̃(gravitino) Appears in GMSB models mass ~KeV

Electroweakinos: 2 e/µ OSSF on-Z

- Tag one leptonically decaying Z with high p_T leptons (25,20 GeV), dilepton trigger, two additional jets and moderate E_T^{miss}
 - E_T^{miss} SR for Z+hadronically decaying V(Z/W) in resolved or boosted regime, Z+H(bb)

Electroweakinos: 3 lepton search ($e/\mu/\tau$)

• 3 leptons and moderate E_T^{miss}, target WZ leptonic decays (on- and off-shell)

32

Electroweakinos: WZ/WH final states

- Hadronic final states are also used to target higher masses and large Δm
- **boosted topologies** targeted with fat jets (AK8) and Deep W/Z/H(bb) taggers $pp \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ August 2021 $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_i}$ [GeV] CMS SUSY Summary results -CMS Preliminary 137 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) 600 -1801.03957, combination, 36 fb⁻¹ (WZ) ····Expected -2012.08600, 2I OS (WZ) Observed 500 -2106.14246, 2I SS + ≥3I (WZ) -SUS-21-002, 0I (WZ) SUS-18-004, soft 2/3-lep (WZ) 400 $pp \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \widetilde{\chi}_2^*$ August 2021 $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_i^o} [\text{GeV}]$ 137 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) CMS Preliminary 300 1801.03957, 1I+bb, 36 fb⁻¹ (WH) 500 ····Expected -2106.14246, 2I SS + ≥3I (WH) Observed -2107.12553, 1l+bb (WH) SUS-21-002, 0I (WH) 200 400 300 200 600 800 200 500 700 900 **1**00 300 400 1000 $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}} = m_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{+}}$ [GeV] 100 In last years a lot of developments to 200 400 600 800 1000 200 target very compressed regions $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}} = m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{\pm}}$ [GeV]

• Dedicated analysis strategy to target scenarios with $\Delta m \sim \text{few}$ -tens of GeV

0

2.0 p

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

5

10

- Very low signal acceptance
- Analysis statistically limited
 Signal to emerge slowly as more integrated luminosity accrues

2 OSSF leptons SR

35

— total^lunc

25 30

20

15

3 leptons SR

10 15 20

🗕 total unc

25

30 35

40 45 50

M(II) [GeV]

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0^L

5

Data/pred.

40 45 50

M(II) [GeV]

• Regions particularly appealing for theoretical considerations

- Sensitivity to ∆m of few GeV with Wino cross section
- motivated by bino-wino cohannihilation: LSP can reproduce the correct DM abundance

• Regions particularly appealing for theoretical considerations

- Very small mass splitting, O(100)MeV, expected in scenarios with wino-like LSP and other SUSY particles decoupled
 - chargino is long lived (cτ of ~10s cm), and decays into a soft pion and neutralino
 - ISR jet to induce E_T^{miss}
 - identification of **disappearing tracks** inside the tracker volume (<1m)

Sleptons B(bino) H(higgsino) $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{0}\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ — $\widetilde{W}(\text{wino})$ ∆m~few hundreds MeV Mass 140 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) ຼິ≘ ຩ[⊭]10²ິ 10 % expected fedian expected SB (PLB 721 (2013) 252) $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\mp}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ production (wino-like $\tilde{\chi}_{1}$) 10^{-1} $\tan \beta = 5$. $\mu > 0$

500

1000

m. GeV

- Pure wino exclusion up to 490 GeV (σ ~46.4 fb)
- Exclude chargino masses up to 884 GeV for τ of 3 ns
- Reach at high/low lifetimes mix of detector geometry and analysis optimization

Sleptons, the rarest

- Direct slepton production: scalar partners of left- and right-handed $e/\mu/\tau$

- mass degeneracy: $m(\tilde{e_L}) = m(\tilde{e_R}) = m(\tilde{\mu}_L) = m(\tilde{\mu}_R)$, $m(\tilde{\tau}_L) = m(\tilde{\tau}_R)$
- 2 OSSF high $p_T e/\mu$ to target high Δm
 - moderate E_T^{miss} and hadronic activity ($N_{jets} < 2$ to allow ISR), Z-veto

- 2 soft OSSF e/ μ recoiling against ISR to target small Δm
 - SR binned in M_{T2}^{ll}
- Final states with hadronic taus
 - lower signal acceptance: tight τ_h ID and p_T, large background from jets→τ_h misidentification

Sleptons and Muon g-2?

• Supersymmetric interpretation of the Muon g-2 Anomaly:

• The hatched bands indicate a few examples of regions that are compatible with the observed muon g-2 anomaly at the $\pm 1\sigma$ level, corresponding to the pMSSM parameters specified in the legend.

Future prospects for SUSY searches

- ATLAS and CMS have provided legacy Run2 results (~140 fb⁻¹)
 - "reinterpretation friendly" results:
 - ATLAS has started publishing full likelihoods [see here]
 - CMS has published simplified likelihoods for multi-bins analyses [see here]
- Standard analyses (energetic jets/leptons and E_T^{miss}) have highly constrained RPC natural SUSY in the bulk
 NLSP gluinos excluded up to ~2 TeV, NLSP top squarks up to ~1.2 TeV, NLSP charginos (Wino) up to ~700 GeV
- In the past years designed searches to cover the difficult corners where "SUSY" can still be "light"
 - **sensitivity reached** to compressed gluinos and top squarks, light Higgsinos, direct stau production, several RPV final states, final states with long lived sparticles...
- Need high statistical power dataset to cover all the allowed parameter space:
 - prepare LHC Run3 data-taking and detectors upgrade for HL-LHC to be able to perform same or extended searches in the future

HL-LHC and Trigger Systems

- Keep exploring the EWK scale with high statistical power dataset:
 - HL-LHC to provide 4000 fb⁻¹ by \sim 2040: x40 data we have today,

obtained with peak $\mathscr{L} = 7.5 \times 10^{34} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Large statistics — High Luminosity — Pile-up increase

- Pile-up: pp interactions per bunch crossing
 - small fraction of 'hard' collisions but increase particle multiplicities
 - from 50 to 200 at HL-LHC
- First drastic effect: increase of trigger rate
- Trigger system: real-time decision to accept or discard a collision event
 - necessary due to real-world limitation in computing power, data storage capacity
 - CMS L1 Trigger: implemented in custom hardware processors, 40 MHz to 100 KHz with thresholds on physics objects reconstructed with coarse information from Calorimeter and Muon systems
 - with 200 pile-up: 100 KHz→ 4000 KHz, simply beyond technical feasibility

Trigger thresholds and SUSY

- In light of the future plans for SUSY (target compressed spectra, small couplings, off-shell decays) CMS must retain the same physics acceptance as of today: no higher thresholds
- Previous searches rely on following triggers:
 - E_T^{miss}: most of RPC standard searches
 - H_T or multiple jets: RPV searches, and to recover low E_T^{miss} events in strong SUSY decays
 - Single, Double, Triple lepton triggers: EWKinos and Sleptons searches
 - cross triggers of E_T^{miss} and other objects: compressed spectra

CMS L1 Trigger: Lowest threshold unprescaled E_T^{miss} trigger in 2018: L1_ETMHF100 which reach 95% plateau efficiency wrt offline E_T^{miss} at 230 GeV

The CMS L1 Trigger and its upgrade

- Upgraded sub-detectors to provide more and better information to the L1 system
 - the L1 system Inclusion of Tracking at L1 (p_T >2 GeV, $|\eta|$ < 2.4) to be combined with Calo and Muon
 - Upgrades to the L1 Calorimeter and Muon trigger systems for full exploitation of the Track trigger requires improved position and energy resolution

Barrel: replacement of electronic systems to reach ECAL crystal-level energies (25x increase over current input data); full exploitation of spacial DT resolution
Endcap: 3D High Granularity calorimeter with unprecedented spacial resolution and shower shape separation; new endcap muon chambers up to |η| < 2.8

- Longer latency (12.5 μs vs 3 μs) and a flexible and modular architecture for processor boards
- Full advantage of much more powerful Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) and optical link technologies

<u>Technical Design Report: The Phase2 Upgrade of the CMS L1 Trigger</u>

The CMS L1 Trigger and its upgrade

- Door opened for state-of-the-art reconstruction techniques used offline: reduce rate matching the performance of offline algorithms
 - Tracking used to confirm Muon/Calo objects, significant improvements with simple design:
 L1 tracks provides sharpened efficiency turn-on for muons, as well as electron identification, photon and lepton isolation
 - L1 Tracks also used for reconstructing vertices, PV then used for Pile-Up Per Particle Identification (PUPPI)
 - Particle Flow + PUPPI techniques provide ultimate performance improvement for jets, τ_h, E<sub>T^{miss}, combining information from all subdetectors,
 </sub>
 - For the first time these complex algorithms are being implemented in firmware

<u>Technical Design Report: The Phase2 Upgrade of the CMS L1 Trigger</u>

Particle Flow for L1 Trigger

- PF reconstruction aim at reconstruct and identify all particles in an event using all subdetector information
- Implementable for the first time at L1 thanks to:
 - efficient reconstruction of charged particles in the tracker
 - fine granularity calorimetry to resolve the contributions from neighboring particles

- Clustering ID (e/ γ vs π^{\pm} vs PU) and track matching performed in a global event description logic
 - semplification: reconstruction of a candidate can be performed relying only on detector input objects in the vicinity of the particle
 - allow regions to be processed separately

<u>Technical Design Report: The Phase2 Upgrade of the CMS L1 Trigger</u>

PUPPI for L1 Trigger

• PF Candidates are then filtered with the PUPPI algorithm

- uses vertexing info and QCQ-based ansatz function to define a particle weight
- vertexing done in parallel w/PF from L1 Tracks in another processor board
- L1 PUPPI runs on global list of candidates from PF reconstruction step and **select prompt physics objects**

- PUPPI drastically mitigates the PU effect and reduces by a factor 10 the PF candidates
 - can all be sent to the next layer for jets, E_T^{miss} , τ_h algos

Is the acceptance preserved?

 PF+PUPPI reconstruction significantly improves energy resolution of jets (expecially at low p_T: important for cross-objects triggers), E_T^{miss}, τ_h, allowing for lower sustainable trigger thresholds

- Test that CMS Phase1 acceptance is preserved with simplified Trigger Menu
 - Designed a simplified Trigger Menu that covers 70% of Run2 CMS Trigger rate (~40 trigger paths) making use of newly developed algorithms and Phase1 thresholds: total rate of about ~400kHz which perfectly fit the total allowed bandwidth of 750 kHz

Technical Design Report: The Phase2 Upgrade of the CMS L1 Trigger

Can the acceptance be extended?

- With the CMS Phase-2 L1 Trigger architecture and algorithms possible to explore new triggering strategies that could not be envisaged or sustained in terms of rate by the Phase-1 system
 - Algorithms are being developed to improve efficiency and resolution for **muons and electrons at low p**_T: important for **cross objects or topological triggers**
 - Multivariate discriminators for specific signal topologies that currently suffer from high thresholds or for more sophisticated E_T^{miss} and tau algorithms that could allow the reduction of corresponding thresholds:
 - tools to synthesise machine learning based algorithms into FPGA firmware have recently been made available.
 - **Dedicated displaced jets/muons reconstruction** to increase acceptance and reduce thresholds when triggering on signatures with long-lived particles
- Challenging corners of the phase space will therefore be accessible at HL-LHC, and no stone will be left unturned in the search for "SUSY-like" new physics manifestation

Thank you for your attention Cristina Botta, University of Zurich LTP/PSI Thursday Colloquia

Backup